UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	ARNOLD & PORTER
ELISE GOULD, RONALD GOULD, SHAYNA GOULD, JESSICA RINE, HENNA NOVACK WALDMAN, MORRIS WALDMAN, SHMUEL WALDMAN, Plaintiffs, v. THE PALESTINIAN AUTHORITY (PA) and THE PALESTINE LIBERATION ORGANIZATION (PLO),	ED ITS FEB. 12, 2015 Jury Verdict Form 04 Civ. 00397 (GBD)
Defendants.	: :
I. JANUARY 22, 2002 – JAFFA ROAD SHOOT: 1. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of for the January 22, 2002 terrorist attack be employee acting within the scope of his entinterests of Defendant PA?	ING f the evidence that Defendant PA is liable because the attack was committed by a PA
 YES 2. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of for the January 22, 2002 terrorist attack b assisted by an agent of Defendant PA? 	NO involved activities f the evidence that Defendant PA is liable ecause the attack was committed of
YES	NO
3. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of for the January 22 , 2002 terrorist attack be assisted by an agent of Defendant PLO?	f the evidence that Defendant PLO is liable ecause the attack was committed or involved activities
YES	NO

4. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that **Defendant PA** is liable for the **January 22**, 2002 terrorist attack because it harbored or concealed a person who it knew, or had reasonable grounds to believe, committed or was about to commit the terrorist attack?

YES

NO

5. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that **Defendant PA** is liable for the **January 22, 2002** terrorist attack because it knowingly provided material support or resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying out the terrorist attack?

YES

NO

6. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that **Defendant PLO** is liable for the **January 22**, 2002 terrorist attack because it harbored or concealed a person who it knew, or had reasonable grounds to believe, committed or was about to commit the terrorist attack?

YES

NO

7. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that **Defendant PLO** is liable for the **January 22**, 2002 terrorist attack because it knowingly provided material support or resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying out the terrorist attack?

YES

___NO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	x
ELANA SOKOLOW, JAMIE SOKOLOW, LAUREN SOKOLOW, MARK SOKOLOW, RENA SOKOLOW,	: : : : : Jury Verdict Form
Plaintiffs, v.	.: 04 Civ. 00397 (GBD)
THE PALESTINIAN AUTHORITY (PA) and THE PALESTINE LIBERATION ORGANIZATION (PLO),	
Defendants.	: : x
LIABILIT	<u>CY</u>
II. JANUARY 27, 2002 – JAFFA ROAD BOMBI	NG ginvolved activities
 Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of for the January 27, 2002 terrorist attack temployee acting within the scope of his entirests of Defendant PA? 	pecause the attack was committed by a PA
YES	NO
2. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of for the January 27 , 2002 terrorist attack be assisted by an agent of Defendant PA ?	f the evidence that Defendant PA is liable pecause the attack was committed or a ctivities
YES	NO
3. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of for the January 27, 2002 terrorist attack b assisted by an agent of Defendant PLO?	f the evidence that Defendant PLO is liable secause the attack was committed or elinvolved activities
YES	NO

4. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that **Defendant PA** is liable for the **January 27**, 2002 terrorist attack because it harbored or concealed a person who it knew, or had reasonable grounds to believe, committed or was about to commit the terrorist attack?

YES

NO

5. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that **Defendant PA** is liable for the **January 27, 2002** terrorist attack because it knowingly provided material support or resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying out the terrorist attack?

YES

NO

6. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that **Defendant PLO** is liable for the **January 27**, **2002** terrorist attack because it harbored or concealed a person who it knew, or had reasonable grounds to believe, committed or was about to commit the terrorist attack?

YES

__NO

7. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that **Defendant PLO** is liable for the **January 27**, 2002 terrorist attack because it knowingly provided material support or resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying out the terrorist attack?

___YES

___NO

SOUTHERN DIS	S DISTRICT COURT FRICT OF NEW YORK		
ALAN BAUER, BI BAUER, YEHONA BAUER,	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	Jury Verdict Form	
v.	Plaintiffs, :	04 Civ. 00397 (GBD)	
THE PALESTINIA PALESTINE LIBE (PLO),	N AUTHORITY (PA) and THE: RATION ORGANIZATION:		
	Defendants. :		
	LIABILITY		
II. MARCH 21, 20	02 – KING GEORGE STREET F	SOMBING	of involved activities
for the M employed	atiffs prove by a preponderance of the larch 21, 2002 terrorist attack because acting within the scope of his emptoof Defendant PA?	ise the attack was committed 1	by a PΔ
	YES	NO	
for the M	tiffs prove by a preponderance of the arch 21, 2002 terrorist attack because to Defendent PA?	ne evidence that Defendant PA se the attack was committed o	is liable involved activities
	YES	NO	
for the M	tiffs prove by a preponderance of th arch 21, 2002 terrorist attack becaunt of Defendant PLO?	e evidence that Defendant PL se the attack was committed c	O is liable involved a ctivities
	YES	NO	

4.	Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant PA is liable for the March 21, 2002 terrorist attack because it harbored or concealed a person who it knew, or had reasonable grounds to believe, committed or was about to commit the terrorist attack?
	YESNO
5.	Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant PA is liable for the March 21 , 2002 terrorist attack because it knowingly provided material support or resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying out the terrorist attack?
	YESNO
6.	Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant PLO is liable for the March 21 , 2002 terrorist attack because it harbored or concealed a person who it knew, or had reasonable grounds to believe, committed or was about to commit the terrorist attack?
	YESNO
7.	Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant PLO is liable for the March 21, 2002 terrorist attack because it knowingly provided material support or resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying out the terrorist attack?
	YESNO

	STATES DISTRICT COURT ERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
LEONAF	RD MANDELKORN, :		
	LESTINIAN AUTHORITY (PA) and THE: INE LIBERATION ORGANIZATION:	Jury Verdict Form 04 Civ. 00397 (GBD)	
	Defendants. :		
	LIABILITY	<u> </u>	
1.	Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the June 19, 2002 terrorist attack because employee acting within the scope of his empiriterests of Defendant PA? YES Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the property of	se the attack was committed by ployment and in furtherance ofNO	by a PA of the PA is liable
	for the June 19, 2002 terrorist attack because an agent of Defendant PA? YES	se the attack was committed o	involved activities
3.	Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the June 19, 2002 terrorist attack because an agent of Defendant PLO? YES	he evidence that Defendant Is the attack was committed toNO	PLO is liable or assisted by involved activities
			[activities]

_		9	DRAF
4.	Did Plaintiffs prove by a prepond for the June 19, 2002 terrorist att knew, or had reasonable grounds terrorist attack?	tack because it harbored	or concealed a person who
	YES	NO	
5.	Did Plaintiffs prove by a prepond for the June 19, 2002 terrorist att or resources that were used in pre	ack because it knowingl	y provided material support
	YES	NO	
6.	Did Plaintiffs prove by a prepond for the June 19 , 2002 terrorist atta Martyrs' Brigade, after its designa support or resources that were use attack?	ack because it knowingly ation as a Foreign Terror	y provided to the al-Aqsa rist Organization, material
	YES	NO	. /
7.	Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponde liable for the June 19, 2002 terror who it knew, or had reasonable gr commit the terrorist attack?	rist attack because it harb	pored or concealed a person
	YES	NO	
8.	Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponde liable for the June 19, 2002 terror support or resources that were use attack?	rist attack because it kno-	wingly provided material
	YES	NO	
9.	Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponde liable for the June 19, 2002 terror? Aqsa Martyrs' Brigade, after its dematerial support or resources that terrorist attack?	ist attack because it knovesignation as a Foreign T	wingly provided to the al- errorist Organization,
	YES	NO	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
	x
KATHERINE BAKER, ESTATE OF BENJAMIN BLUTSTEIN, REBEKAH BLUTSTEIN, RICHARI BLUTSTEIN, ESTATE OF DIANE CARTER, LARRY CARTER, SHAUN CHOFFEL, ROBERT L. COULTER JR., DIANE COULTER MILLER, ROBERT L. COULTER SR., ESTATE OF JANIS RUTH COULTER, ESTATE OF DAVID GRITZ,	Jury Verdict Form 04 Civ. 00397 (GBD)
NEVENKA GRITZ (on behalf of herself and as successor to NORMAN GRITZ),	: :
Plaintiffs, v.	: :
THE PALESTINIAN AUTHORITY (PA) and THE PALESTINE LIBERATION ORGANIZATION (PLO),	: : :
Defendants.	:
	·
<u>LIABILIT</u>	'Y
V. JULY 31, 2002 – HEBREW UNIVERSITY BO	a linvolved
1. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of for the July 31, 2002 terrorist attack becausemployee acting within the scope of his eninterests of Defendant PA?	ise the attack was committed by a PA
YES	NO finvolved activities
2. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of for the July 31, 2002 terrorist attack becau an agent of Defendant PA?	the evidence that Defendant PA is liable use the attack was committed or assisted by
YES	NO
3. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of for the July 31, 2002 terrorist attack becau an agent of Defendant PLO?	the evidence that Defendant PLO is liable se the attack was committed or assisted by
YES	No involved

4.	for the July 31, 2002 terrorist attack because it harbored or concealed a person who it knew, or had reasonable grounds to believe, committed or was about to commit the terrorist attack?		
	YES	NO	
5.	Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderand for the July 31, 2002 terrorist attack be or resources that were used in preparate	ecause it knowingly provide	d material support
	YES	NO	
6.	Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderant for the July 31, 2002 terrorist attack be its designation as a Foreign Terrorist C were used in preparation for or in carry	ecause it knowingly provide Organization, material suppo	d to Hamas, after
	YES	NO	9
7.	Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance for the July 31, 2002 terrorist attack be used to carry out the terrorist attack?	ce of the evidence that Defer ecause it knowingly provide	ndant PA is liable d funds that were
	YES	NO	
8.	Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance liable for the July 31, 2002 terrorist att who it knew, or had reasonable ground commit the terrorist attack?	tack because it harbored or c	oncealed a person
	YES	NO	
	Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderanc liable for the July 31, 2002 terrorist att support or resources that were used in pattack?	tack because it knowingly pr	ovided material
	YES	NO	

10. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that **Defendant PLO** is liable for the **July 31**, 2002 terrorist attack because it knowingly provided to Hamas, after its designation as a Foreign Terrorist Organization, material support or resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying out the terrorist attack?

that were used in preparation for or in carrying out the terrorist attack?

____YES ____NO

11. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that **Defendant PLO** is liable for the **July 31, 2002** terrorist attack because it knowingly provided funds that were used to carry out the terrorist attack?

____YES ____NO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	Y
CHANA GOLDBERG, ELIEZER GOLDBERG, ESTHER GOLDBERG, KAREN GOLDBERG, SHOSHANA GOLDBERG, TZVI GOLDBERG, YAAKOV GOLDBERG, YITZHAK GOLDBERG, Plaintiffs, v.	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :
THE PALESTINIAN AUTHORITY (PA) and THE PALESTINE LIBERATION ORGANIZATION (PLO),	: : : :
Defendants.	· ;
	x
LIABILIT	
VI. JANUARY 29, 2004 – BUS NO. 19 BOMBING	involved activities
 Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of for the January 29, 2004 terrorist attack to employee acting within the scope of his entire interests of Defendant PA? 	f the evidence that Defendant PA is liable because the attack was committed by a PA
YES	NO
2. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of for the January 29, 2004 terrorist attack to assisted by an agent of Defendant PA?	
YES	NO
3. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of for the January 29, 2004 terrorist attack to assisted by an agent of Defendant PLO?	f the evidence that Defendant PLO is liable pecause the attack was committed or involved a chirtles
YES	NO

		DIALL
4.	Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendan for the January 29 , 2004 terrorist attack because it harbored or concease who it knew, or had reasonable grounds to believe, committed or was a commit the terrorist attack?	led a person
	YESNO	
5.	Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendan for the January 29, 2004 terrorist attack because it knowingly provide support or resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying our attack?	d material
	YESNO	
6.	Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendan for the January 29 , 2004 terrorist attack because it knowingly provided Aqsa Martyrs' Brigade, after its designation as a Foreign Terrorist Organization support or resources that were used in preparation for or in carterrorist attack?	d to the al- anization.
	YESNO	
7.	Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendan for the January 29 , 2004 terrorist attack because it knowingly provided were used to carry out the terrorist attack?	t PA is liable I funds that
	YESNO	
8.	Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendan liable for the January 29 , 2004 terrorist attack because it harbored or c person who it knew, or had reasonable grounds to believe, committed o commit the terrorist attack?	oncealed a
	YESNO	
9.	Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant liable for the January 29 , 2004 terrorist attack because it knowingly prematerial support or resources that were used in preparation for or in carreterrorist attack?	ovided
	YESNO	

Page 14 of 28

10. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that **Defendant PLO** is liable for the **January 29**, 2004 terrorist attack because it knowingly provided to the al-Aqsa Martyrs' Brigade, after its designation as a Foreign Terrorist Organization, material support or resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying out the terrorist attack?

YES	NO	9
11. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance liable for the January 29, 2004 terrorist that were used to carry out the terrorist a	attack because it know	efendant PLO is ingly provided funds
YES	NO	

CHECKED "YES" IN PESPINSE TO AT LEAST ONE

IF YOU FIND THAT AT LEAST ONE DEFENDANT IS LIABLE AS TO ANY PLAINTIFF,

PLEASE PROCEED TO ANSWER THE RELATED QUESTIONS REGARDING DAMAGES

BEGINNING ON PAGE 16. IF YOU DO NOT FIND THAT AT LEAST ONE DEFENDANT

IS LIABLE AS TO ANY PLAINTIFF, YOU SHOULD PROCEED NO FURTHER.

CHECKED "NO" TO EVERY QUESTION ABOVE

: ALD GOULD, SHAYNA : NE, HENNA NOVACK :	Jury Verdict Form 04 Civ. 00397 (GBD)

• •	
Defendants. :	
X	
<u>DAMAGES</u>	
02 – JAFFA ROAD SHOOTIN	IG
	ard as compensation for Plaintiff Elise used by the January 22, 2002 terrorist
\$	
	ard as compensation for Plaintiff Ronald used by the January 22, 2002 terrorist
\$	
nt of damages, if any, do you aw uries that you determine were ca	ard as compensation for Plaintiff Shayna used by the January 22, 2002 terrorist
\$	
nt of damages, if any, do you aw ies that you determine were caus	ard as compensation for Plaintiff Jessica sed by the January 22, 2002 terrorist
ø	
	ICT OF NEW YORK ALD GOULD, SHAYNA NE, HENNA NOVACK IS WALDMAN, SHMUEL Plaintiffs, AUTHORITY (PA) and THE TION ORGANIZATION Defendants. Defendants. DAMAGES O2 – JAFFA ROAD SHOOTIN Int of damages, if any, do you aw uries that you determine were ca \$

٥.	Novack Waldman's injuries that you determine were caused by the January 22, 2002 terrorist attack?
	\$
5.	What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff Morris Waldman's injuries that you determine were caused by the January 22, 2002 terrorist attack?
	\$
7.	What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff Shmuel Waldman's injuries that you determine were caused by the January 22, 2002 terrorist attack?
	\$

SOUTH	STATES DISTRICT COURT ERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	x
ELANA LAUREN	SOKOLOW, JAMIE SOKOLOW, N SOKOLOW, MARK SOKOLOW, OKOLOW,	Jury Verdict Form
v.	Plaintiffs,	: 04 Civ. 00397 (GBD)
	LESTINIAN AUTHORITY (PA) and TI INE LIBERATION ORGANIZATION	· HE: : :
	Defendants.	: x
	DAMA	 .GES
II. JANU	JARY 27, 2002 – JAFFA ROAD BOM	BING
1.		ou award as compensation for Plaintiff Elana were caused by the January 27, 2002 terrorist
	\$	
2.	What amount of damages, if any, do yo Sokolow's injuries that you determine attack?	ou award as compensation for Plaintiff Jamie were caused by the January 27, 2002 terrorist
	\$	
3.	What amount of damages, if any, do yo Sokolow's injuries that you determine attack?	ou award as compensation for Plaintiff Lauren were caused by the January 27, 2002 terrorist
	\$	
4.	What amount of damages, if any, do yo Sokolow's injuries that you determine attack?	ou award as compensation for Plaintiff Mark were caused by the January 27, 2002 terrorist
	\$	

DKAFT

5. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff Rena Sokolow's injuries that you determine were caused by the January 27, 2002 terrorist attack?

\$_____

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	x
ALAN BAUER, BINYAMIN BAUER, DANIEL BAUER, YEHONATHON BAUER, YEHUDA BAUER,	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :
Plaintiffs, v.	. 04 Civ. 00397 (GBD)
THE PALESTINIAN AUTHORITY (PA) and THE PALESTINE LIBERATION ORGANIZATION (PLO), Defendants.	: : :
	x
DAMAG	<u>ES</u>
III. MARCH 21, 2002 – KING GEORGE STREE	T BOMBING
What amount of damages, if any, do you Bauer's injuries that you determine were attack?	award as compensation for Plaintiff Alan caused by the March 21, 2002 terrorist
\$	
2. What amount of damages, if any, do you Binyamin Bauer's injuries that you determine the terrorist attack?	award as compensation for Plaintiff rmine were caused by the March 21, 2002
S	
3. What amount of damages, if any, do you Bauer's injuries that you determine were attack?	
S	
4. What amount of damages, if any, do you Yehonathon Bauer's injuries that you de 2002 terrorist attack?	
\$	

D	R_{\perp}	4.	F	T
			_	

5. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff Yehuda Bauer's injuries that you determine were caused by the March 21, 2002 terrorist attack?

\$

UNITED STATES DISTRIC SOUTHERN DISTRICT O	F NEW YORK	- x	
LEONARD MANDELKORN	1,	:	
v.	Plaintiff,	:	Jury Verdict Form
THE PALESTINIAN AUTHORALESTINE LIBERATION		: E: :	04 Civ. 00397 (GBD)
(PLO),		•	
	Defendants.	: :	
		- X	

DAMAGES

IV. JUNE 19, 2002 – FRENCH HILL BOMBING

1. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff Leonard Mandelkorn's injuries that you determine were caused by the June 19, 2002 terrorist attack?

\$		

SOUTH	STATES DISTRICT COURT ERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
KATHER BLUTST BLUTST CARTER COULTE ROBERT	RINE BAKER, ESTATE OF BENJAMIN EIN, REBEKAH BLUTSTEIN, RICHARD EIN, ESTATE OF DIANE CARTER, LARRY L, SHAUN CHOFFEL, ROBERT L. ER JR., DIANE COULTER MILLER, L. COULTER SR., ESTATE OF JANIS OULTER, ESTATE OF DAVID GRITZ,	
NEVENK	CA GRITZ (on behalf of herself and as to NORMAN GRITZ),	:
v.	Plaintiffs,	: :
	LESTINIAN AUTHORITY (PA) and THE INE LIBERATION ORGANIZATION (PLO),	: : :
	Defendants.	: :
	<u>DAMAGES</u>	•
V. JULY	31, 2002 – HEBREW UNIVERSITY BOMB	ING
1.	What amount of damages, if any, do you awar Katherine Baker's injuries that you determine terrorist attack?	
	\$	
2.	What amount of damages, if any, do you aware Benjamin Blutstein's injuries that you determ terrorist attack?	
	\$	
3.	What amount of damages, if any, do you aware Rebekah Blutstein's injuries that you determit terrorist attack?	
	\$	

4.	What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff Richard Blutstein's injuries that you determine were caused by the July 31, 2002 terrorist attack?
	\$
5.	What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff Diane Carter's injuries that you determine were caused by the July 31, 2002 terrorist attack?
	<u> </u>
6.	What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff Larry Carter's injuries that you determine were caused by the July 31, 2002 terrorist attack?
	\$
7.	What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff Shaun Choffel's injuries that you determine were caused by the July 31, 2002 terrorist attack?
	\$
8.	What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff Robert L. Coulter Jr.'s injuries that you determine were caused by the July 31, 2002 terrorist attack?
	\$
9.	What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff Diane Coulter Miller's injuries that you determine were caused by the July 31, 2002 terrorist attack?
	\$
10.	What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff Robert L. Coulter Sr.'s injuries that you determine were caused by the July 31, 2002 terrorist attack?
	\$

11. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff Janis Ruth Coulter's injuries that you determine were caused by the July 31, 2002 terrorist attack?
\$
12. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff David Gritz's injuries that you determine were caused by the July 31, 2002 terrorist attack?
\$
13. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff
Nevenka Gritz's injuries that you determine were caused by the July 31, 2002 terrorist attack?
\$
14. What amount of damages, if any, do you award to Plaintiff Nevenka Gritz as successor to Norman Gritz as compensation for Plaintiff Norman Gritz's injuries that you determine were caused by the July 31, 2002 terrorist attack?
S

SOUTHER	FATES DISTRICT COURT N DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
ESTHER GO SHOSHANA	DLDBERG, ELIEZER GOLDBERG, : DLDBERG, KAREN GOLDBERG, : A GOLDBERG, TZVI GOLDBERG, : GOLDBERG, YITZHAK GOLDBERG, :	Jury Verdict Form 04 Civ. 00397 (GBD)
v.	Plaintiffs, :	
	: ETINIAN AUTHORITY (PA) and THE: ELIBERATION ORGANIZATION:	
	Defendants.	
	DAMAGES	
VI. JANUAI	RY 29, 2004 – BUS NO. 19 BOMBING	
G	That amount of damages, if any, do you awas oldberg's injuries that you determine were prorist attack?	
	\$	
G	That amount of damages, if any, do you awa oldberg's injuries that you determine were rrorist attack?	
	s	<u> </u>
G	That amount of damages, if any, do you awa oldberg's injuries that you determine were rrorist attack?	ard as compensation for Plaintiff Esther caused by the January 29, 2004
	\$	
G	That amount of damages, if any, do you awa oldberg's injuries that you determine were rrorist attack?	ard as compensation for Plaintiff Karen caused by the January 29, 2004
	\$	

5.	What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff Shoshana Goldberg's injuries that you determine were caused by the January 29, 2004 terrorist attack?
	\$
6.	What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff Tzvi Goldberg's injuries that you determine were caused by the January 29, 2004 terrorist attack?
	S
7.	What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff Yaakov Goldberg's injuries that you determine were caused by the January 29, 2004 terrorist attack?
	S
8.	What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff Yitzhak Goldberg's injuries that you determine were caused by the January 29, 2004 terrorist attack?
	\$

Dated: This _____ day of February, 2015

Signature of Foreperson